

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

---

Aaron Rhy Broussard,

Case No. 22-cv-0893 (WMW/ECW)

Petitioner,

**ORDER**

v.

United States of America; Susan Richard  
Nelson; Terrence Olstad; Thomas M.  
Hollenhorst; Melinda A. Williams; Charles  
J. Novats, Jr.; and U.S. Department of  
Justice,

Respondents.

---

Before the Court are Petitioner Aaron Rhy Broussard's petition for a writ of habeas corpus challenging his pre-judgment detention, (Dkt. 1), application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, (Dkt. 2), motion for a temporary injunction, (Dkt. 5), and motion for a temporary restraining order, (Dkt. 6).

After careful review, the Court concludes that Broussard's petition for a writ of habeas corpus is frivolous for the reasons explained more fully in *Broussard v. United States*, No. 21-CV-2484 (SRN/LIB), 2021 WL 5868719, at \*1 (D. Minn. Nov. 18, 2021), and in *Broussard v. United States*, No. 22-CV-0889 (JRT/LIB), Dkt. 8 (D. Minn. Apr. 12, 2022). Accordingly, the Court summarily denies Broussard's petition, *see* Rule 4, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts,<sup>1</sup> and denies as moot

---

<sup>1</sup> Broussard's petition is not brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, but the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts may nevertheless be

Broussard's request to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Court also denies Broussard's motions for temporary injunctive relief. An appeal from this dismissal could not be taken in good faith, and Broussard will not be granted *in forma pauperis* status to pursue such an appeal. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).

Based on the foregoing analysis and all the files, records and proceedings herein,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

1. Petitioner Aaron Rhy Broussard's petition for a writ of habeas corpus, (Dkt. 1), is **DENIED**.
2. Petitioner Aaron Rhy Broussard's application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, (Dkt. 2), is **DENIED AS MOOT**.
3. Petitioner Aaron Rhy Broussard's motions for injunctive relief, (Dkts. 5, 6), are **DENIED**.
4. This action is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.
5. The Court certifies that an appeal from this dismissal could not be taken in good faith.

LET JUDGMENT BE ENTERED ACCORDINGLY.

Dated: April 13, 2022

s/Wilhelmina M. Wright  
Wilhelmina M. Wright  
United States District Judge

---

applied to this matter. *See* Rule 1(b), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts.